

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Title of the Article: Concise, Specific and Informative

Author details removed for double-blind review.

Abstract

State the problem, the approach, the main findings and their implications in a single paragraph of 150–250 words. Do not cite references, and spell out abbreviations at first use. A structured abstract (Purpose, Design/methodology, Findings, Originality) is also acceptable.

Keywords: keyword one; keyword two; keyword three; keyword four; keyword five

1 Introduction

Explain the research question, why it matters, and what the article contributes. Close the introduction with a short outline of the sections that follow. Cite sources as Smith and Brown [1] or [2, 3].

2 Related Work

Position the study in the existing literature and identify the gap it addresses [3].

3 Methods

Describe the data, materials and procedures in enough detail for the study to be reproduced. Number displayed equations so they can be referred to, as in Equation (1).

$$\hat{y} = \arg \max_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} P(y | x; \theta) \quad (1)$$

3.1 Data collection

Use subsections where they help the reader; avoid going deeper than three levels.

4 Results

Present the findings. Refer to every table and figure in the text, for example Table 1 and Figure 1.

Table 1. Table captions go above the table. Use horizontal rules only.

Method	Precision	Recall	F1
Baseline	0.81	0.77	0.79
Proposed	0.88	0.85	0.86




Figure 1. Figure captions go below the figure. Supply figures at 300 dpi or as vector graphics, and make sure text in them is legible at print size.

5 Discussion

Interpret the results, compare them with earlier work, and state the limitations of the study and its practical implications.

6 Conclusion

Summarise the contribution and suggest directions for future research.

Declarations

Funding. Name the funders and grant numbers, or state that the research received no external funding. Anonymise funder details that would identify the authors during review.

Conflicts of interest. Declare any competing interests, or state that there are none.

Data availability. State where the data supporting the findings can be found, or why they cannot be shared.

Ethics approval. Give the approving body and reference for research involving human participants or animals, or state that approval was not required.

Use of generative AI. Describe any substantive use of generative AI tools in the research or writing, or state that none was used.

Author contributions. Add these in the title page for review; they are moved here in the accepted version.

References

- [1] Jane Smith and Ahmed Brown. An example journal article title. *Journal Name*, 12(3):45–67, 2024. doi: 10.0000/example.2024.001.
- [2] Min-Jun Lee. *An Example Book Title*. Publisher Name, City, 2023.
- [3] Lucia García and Chidi Okafor. An example conference paper title. In *Proceedings of the Example Conference*, pages 101–110, 2022. doi: 10.0000/example.2022.010.